

**RPI- SlingShot 3.0 Webinar Q&A
October 22, 2019**

Q: Are “High Roads” projects required to connect to apprenticeship/unions?

A: No “High Road” projects are not required.

Q: Is the Admin cap 10%?

A: Yes, the admin cap is 10%

Q: When is the funding period, start date of funding -end date of funding? Will RPI 3.0 overlap or follow the existing RO/RTC/RT funding that finishes on Sept. 30, 2020?

A: The grant term is April 1, 2020-September 30, 2021 – Yes it will overlap.

Q: May the RO budget fully fund the RO position - or is a leverage expected? (If full funding of this cost is allowed, this would allow this RPU more favorable options.)

A: There is not a separate budget or application for the Regional Organizer. All funds will be distributed under the Regional Plan Implementation/Slingshot 3.0 and within your budget/application you are required to fund a Regional Organizer and project. It is up to the RPU to determine how much and what other activities will be proposed for your project.

Q: May proposals use funds for typical supportive services for participants?

A: If participants are being served with RPI 3.0 funds they must be entered into CalJOBS. If supportive services are a part of the Regional approach and aligns with the intent of the funds as outlined in the RFA, then yes.

Q: Is the maximum request amount \$400,000? Is it permissible for applications to request more than 400,000 if there is specific justification provided?

A: The RFA states award amounts are \$100,000 - \$400,000. Yes \$400,000 is the maximum amount.

Q: Currently, RPU’s may have 1 fiscal agent for RTC and one for RPI. Since the State Board has combined these into one application process, is there a prohibition against including 2 fiscal agents in the application and budget, as long as the application is clear on the budgeted amount, activities and outcomes for each fiscal agent?

A: Applications should include a regional fiscal agent who will disperse funds to local board partners who are responsible for implementing the goals of the RPI 3.0 initiatives.

Q: The RPI 3.0 RFA includes a requirement that “All applications must include a letter identifying which Local Board will act as the Lead Fiscal Agent and include signatures from all partner boards”. Many regions have developed regional agreements that describe how regional decisions will be made”. Please clarify that other methods of documenting agreement on the regional fiscal agent will be accepted.

A: Agreement to the Regional Fiscal Agent can be documented either by a letter signed by each Local Board Director or by documentation of a regional director’s meeting or conference call supported by the MOU or RPU Agreement outlining the process for decision making.

Q: The RPI 3.0 webinar seemed to indicate that each local board must prepare a quarterly report and then the RPU must also submit a quarterly report. Please clarify this requirement.

A: One quarterly report must be submitted by each RPU. The state board is incorporating a section of the report that incorporates accountability for local boards that accept funding and responsibility for implementing activities under RPI 3.0 to submit fiscal and outcome reports to the Regional Organizer or regional fiscal agent for inclusion in the quarterly report.

Q: Is there a page limit for the application?

A: No, there is not a page limit.

Q: Is an In-Kind Match required?

A: No, there an In-Kind Match is not a requirement.